1	STUART C. CLARK (SBN 124152) clark@carrferrell.com CHRISTINE S. WATSON (SBN 218006) cwatson@carrferrell.com CARR & FERRELL LLP 2200 Geng Road Palo Alto, California 94303 Telephone: (650) 812-3400	
3		
4		
5	Facsimile: (650) 812-3444	
6	Attorneys for Plaintiff I. MICHAEL ROSS	
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION	
11	SHITTIGHT CISCO DIVISION	
12	I. MICHAEL ROSS,	CASE NO. C08-01052 MHP
13	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]
14	V.	ORDER PERMITTING FILING OF AMENDED COMPLAINT
15	TOMLAB OPTIMIZATION AB,	[N. D. Cal. Civ. L.R. 3-12, 7-11]
16	TOMLAB OPTIMIZATION, INC., and DOES 1 through 20,	
17	Defendants.	
18		
19	WHEREAS:	
20	A. Plaintiff I. Michael Ross ("Ross") v	wishes to further amend the complaint to add
21	claims against an additional defendant, Anil Rao;	
22	_	
23	B. Ross has already exercised his right to amend the complaint once under Rule	
24	15(a)(1), and therefore requires Court approval to file a further amended complaint;	
25	C. Defendant Tomlab Organization, Inc. ("Tomlab") is willing to stipulate to the filing	
26	of a further amended complaint;	
27	D. Ross is unable to obtain the stipular	tion of defendant Tomlab Organization, A.B.,
28		

1	since it has not yet been served and is accordingly not yet an active party represented by counsel;	
2	E. Ross and Tomlab Organization, Inc., as the active parties to the litigation (the	
3	"Active Parties"), are therefore the only parties capable of executing this stipulation:	
4	NOW THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by and between the Active Parties,	
5	through their respective counsel, that Ross may file a further amended complaint asserting claims	
6	against Anil Rao.	
7		
8	Dated: May 8, 2008 CARR & FERRELL LLP	
9	, /s/ Stuart C. Clark	
10	By:	
11	Attorneys for plaintiff I. Michael Ross	
12	Datad. May 9, 2009 NEWHOLIGE & ACCOCLATES	
13	Dated: May 8, 2008 NEWHOUSE & ASSOCIATES	
14	David E. Digitally signed by David E. Newhouse DN: cn=David E. Newhouse, o=Newhouse / Associates, ou,	
15	By: Newhouse email=den@attycubed.com, c=US Date: 2008.05.08 10:19:17 -07'00'	
16	DAVID E. NEWHOUSE Attorneys for defendants Tomlab Optimization, Inc.	
17		
18		
19		
	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.	
20	DATED: May 9, 2008	
20 21	DATED: May 9, 2008	
	DATED: May 9, 2008	
21	DATED: May 9, 2008 WARLYN UNELL IT IS SO ORDERED TO THE STATES DISTRICT OF THE STATES DIST	
21 22	DATED: May 9, 2008 WARLYN UNDER IT IS SO ORDERED TO THE STATES DISTRICT TO THE STATES DISTRICT TO THE STATES DISTRICT TO THE STATES DISTRICT TO THE STATES DIST	
21 22 23	DATED: May 9, 2008 WARIL VALUE OF IT IS SO ORDERED Judge Marilyn H. Patel Judge Marilyn H. Patel	
21 22 23 24	DATED: May 9, 2008 WARIL VALUE OF IT IS SO ORDERED Judge Marilyn H. Patel Judge Marilyn H. Patel	
2122232425	DATED: May 9, 2008 WARLYN UNELL IT IS SO ORDERED TO THE STATES DISTRICT OF THE STATES DIST	